

ENTERED

December 21, 2023

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

RONALD THOMAS,

Plaintiff,

VS.

TEXAS DEPT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE,
et al.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:23-CV-00142

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION
TO DENY PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION**


On November 15, 2023, United States Magistrate Judge Jason B. Libby issued his “Memorandum and Recommendation to Deny Plaintiff’s Motion for Reconsideration” (D.E. 44). The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s memorandum and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s memorandum and recommendation (D.E. 44), and all other relevant

documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Rule 54(b) motion to reconsider the Court's October 31 Order adopting the memorandum and recommendation (D.E. 43) is **DENIED**.

ORDERED on December 21, 2023.


NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE